

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/389,201	BABKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Kelly Kordzik (Reg. No. 36,571).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Proposed Amendment.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 13, 20-22, 24, 27, 30, 33, 35, and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)